

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,316	10/519,316 12/23/2004		Reinhold Bruecken	P-56 MG	9097	
28752	7590	07/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		EGEL, LLP GEL BUILDING	LY, NO	LY, NGHI H		
-	1 CHASE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SCARSDAL	SCARSDALE, NY 10583				2686	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/519,316	BRUECKEN, REINHOLD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi H. Ly	2686				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	ecember 2004.					
· ·	·					
, == -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t I}$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
,	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of 101111 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/11/05.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,316

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 04/11/2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Form 1449/PTO (Sheet 2 of 3), Cite No. I, fails to provide a legible copy of cited foreign patent document.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokkanen (US 6,876,853) in view of Grubeck et al (US 6,154,657).

Regarding claim 1, Hokkanen teaches a method for carrying out a blind handover in an intersystem and interfrequency handover in mobile communication systems (see Abstract), one mobile station (30) being supplied with radio signals from several base stations (23, 24) (fig.1, see the zigzag signal from several base stations), that, based on the determined residence site (see column 3, lines 15-34), with the aid of a data base

Art Unit: 2686

(see fig.3, Memory 3, also see Location obtaining 1, Processing 2, and Measurement 6) at least one suitable base station (20) is selected for an intersystem or interfrequency handover (see column 7, line 47 to column 8, line 6), that the data of the selected base station (20) required for a handover are transmitted to the mobile station (see column 2, lines 24-28), and that the mobile station (30) carries out the handover to the selected base station (20) (see column 7, line 47 to column 8, line 6).

Hokkanen does not specifically disclose a propagation time measurement by the mobile station (30) is carried out at the air interface of the signals received by the base stations (23, 24), that the measured propagation times are transmitted to one of the base stations (23, 24), that on the part of the mobile communication network the residence site of the mobile station (30) is determined on the basis of the propagation time measurement data.

Grubeck teaches a propagation time measurement by the mobile station (30) is carried out at the air interface of the signals received by the base stations (23, 24) (see column 1, lines 28-40), that the measured propagation times are transmitted to one of the base stations (23, 24) (see column 1, lines 28-40), that on the part of the mobile communication network the residence site of the mobile station (30) is determined on the basis of the propagation time measurement data (also see column 1, lines 28-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Grubeck into the system of Hokkanen in order to calculate the actual position of the mobile station (see Grubeck, column 1, lines 28-40).

Regarding claim 2, Hokkanen and/or Grubeck further teaches the mobile (30) additionally the signal strength and/or the signal quality of the base stations (23, 24) are measured and transmitted to one of the base stations (see Hokkanen, column 1, lines 48-60 and/or see Grubeck, column 1, line 67 to column 2, line 3, and column 5, lines 49-57).

Regarding claim 7, Hokkanen further teaches the precise residence site of the mobile station (30) is determined by means of a GPS receiver (see column 4, lines 46-49).

Regarding claim 10, Hokkanen further teaches during the handover the mobile station (30) changes from a base station (24) of a first mobile communication system to a base station (20) of a second mobile communication system (see Abtract and fig.1).

Regarding claim 11, Hokkanen further teaches during the handover the mobile station (30) changes from a base station (24) of a first mobile communication system to a base station (20) of a second mobile communication system (see Abstract and fig.1).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokkanen (US 6,876,853) in view of Grubeck et al (US 6,154,657) and further in view of Henon (US 6,741,577).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck teaches claim 1.

The combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck does not specifically disclose the mobile station (30) during the handover changes the utilized radio frequencies.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,316

Art Unit: 2686

Henon teaches the mobile station (30) during the handover changes the utilized radio frequencies (see column 6, lines 11-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Henon into the system of Hokkanen and Grubeck so that dropped call are substantially eliminated (see Henon, Abstract).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokkanen (US 6,876,853) in view of Grubeck et al (US 6,154,657) and further in view of Persson (US 5,487,174).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck teaches claim 1. The combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck does not specifically disclose the effective coverage range of the base station (24) supplying the mobile station before the handover differs from the effective coverage range of the base station (20) supplying the mobile station after the handover.

Persson teaches the effective coverage range of the base station (24) supplying the mobile station before the handover differs from the effective coverage range of the base station (20) supplying the mobile station after the handover (see column 8, lines 56-67 and Fig.2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Persson into the system of Hokkanen and Grubeck in order to handle a bidirectional connection involving a mobile

, application control ita

Art Unit: 2686

station in a cellular mobile radio communication system having adjacent cells of substantially different sizes (see Persson, Abstract).

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokkanen (US 6,876,853) in view of Grubeck et al (US 6,154,657) and further in view of Abrishamkar et al (US 6,829,485).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck teaches claim 1. The combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck does not specifically disclose the effective coverage range of the base station (24) supplying the mobile station before the handover overlaps the effective coverage range of the base station (20) supplying the mobile station after the handover.

Persson teaches the effective coverage range of the base station (24) supplying the mobile station before the handover overlaps the effective coverage range of the base station (20) supplying the mobile station after the handover (se column 4, lines 26-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Abrishamkar into the system of Hokkanen and Grubeck in order to provide receivers for demodulating quick paging channels in communications system (see Abrishamkar, column 1, lines 9-13).

Art Unit: 2686

7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hokkanen (US 6,876,853) in view of Grubeck et al (US 6,154,657) and further in view of Yamamoto (US 6,477,183).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck teaches claim 1.

The combination of Hokkanen and Grubeck does not specifically disclose a central clock a frame synchronization is carried out between the participating base stations (23, 24).

Yamamoto teaches a central clock a frame synchronization is carried out between the participating base stations (23, 24) (see column 2, lines 29-31).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Yamamoto into the system of Hokkanen and Grubeck in order to provide synchronization between base stations and prevent signals from being lost.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Hokkanen, Grubeck and Yamamoto teaches discrepancies of the frame synchronization between the base stations (23, 24) are determined (see column 2, lines 29-31). The combination of Hokkanen, Grubeck and Yamamoto does not specifically disclose the frame between the base station are stored in a matrix and utilized for calculating the residence site of the mobile station (30). However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such feature as recited is very well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Hokkanen, Grubeck and

Art Unit: 2686

Yamamoto for providing a method as claimed, for calculating the residence site of the mobile station.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Dixon (US 5,850,600) teaches three cell wireless communication system.
 - b. Halonen (US 6,816,729) teaches handover method.
 - c. Kumar (US 6,073,021) teaches robust CDMA handoff.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-7911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 9

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

Marsha D Bank-Harold

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600